IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

-

WRIT PETITION NO: 3886 of 1996

Between:

B.Padmanabha Chary S/o. Rathna Chari
R/o.23/334, Pendem Vari St. Fathekhanpet
Nellore - 3

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The A.P.S.R.T.C rep. by its Managing Director
Musheerabad, Hyderabad
2 The Regional Manager A.P.S.R.T.C.
Vikrama Simha Region, Nellore,
Nellore District.
3 The Executive Engineer, A.P.S.R.T.C.
Nellore

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the case of the petitioner for re-appointment and regularization of services by virtue of impugned circular No.PD-81/91, Dt.26/09/1991 and taking different yard sticks in appointing and regularizing the similarly placed persons as illegal unjust contrary to Sec.25-H, of I.D. Act and subversive of Art.14 and 16 of Constitution of India and also contrary to circular PD.No.163/89, Dt.20/12/89 and Lr.No.CE2/232(192)/89-PD-1, Dt.10/01/90 issued by the respondents and it is only action of the act of victimization of unfair

labour practice and further declare that I am entitled to re-appointment as conductor or any other suitable post by virtue of my qualification in the respondents corporation as per the instructions contained in Circular No.PD-163/89, Dt.20/12/1989 and Lr.No.CE2/232(192)/89-PD-1, Dt.10/01/1990 and also as per the provisions of Sec.25-H of I.D. Act and regularization of service with all consequential benefits in the interest of justice and pass such other order as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.CH.SRINIVAS

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.R.MANMADHA REDDY (SC FOR APSRTC)

The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

O R D E R:

None for the petitioner. No representation either. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution. No order as to costs.

_____

18.11.2004

Sd/-

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1 The Managing Director, A.P.S.R.T.C.,
Musheerabad, Hyderabad
2 The Regional Manager A.P.S.R.T.C.
Vikrama Simha Region, Nellore,
Nellore District.
3 The Executive Engineer, A.P.S.R.T.C.
Nellore

4 2 CD copies

RAR